Conclusion

Euro-think tanks play a useful role in the process of European integration and for policy-makers in Europe in general. We have seen throughout this report how they fulfil many of the roles presented in Section 1, as do their peers elsewhere. They do indeed, to varying degrees, carry out "basic research on policy problems and policy solutions"; they provide "advice on immediate policy concerns that are being considered by government officials"; they evaluate government programs, they "serve as facilitators of issue networks and the exchange of ideas"; they "serve as suppliers of personnel to government and as a place for politicians and policy-makers who are out of power to recharge their batteries"; and they help interpret "policies and current events for the electronic and print media." They help make European democracy a reality by acting as incubators and facilitators of ideas.

Yet, we have also seen how the sector as a whole is strong in absolute terms (3,000 researchers represent nearly a fifth of the total staff employed by the European Commission!), but also relatively fragmented and isolated in the sense that not all its members are as effective at disseminating their research as they could be. Existing players face growing competition from within its own ranks and from new entrants, as barriers to entry to the 'marketplace of ideas' are relatively low. There is some overlap of issues. Public funding is decreasing; private funding is not yet taking its place. Corporate donors and foundations face obstacles to fund independent policy research at the E.U.-level and the sector itself has grown too dependent on public funding.

Meanwhile, think tanks face new dilemmas, as E.U. politics and think tank activities are becoming more partisan and they need to fight for media attention. Potential users of their work, decisionmakers, journalists, academics, are not using think tanks to their maximum value. In many countries, the former still need to learn what a healthy think tank sector can contribute to policymaking and democracy.

While we found many pessimists within and outside the sector, we believe that there are encouraging signs that Euro-think tanks are in fact experiencing the beginnings of a new era. Decision-makers, both in the public and private sectors, indicate growing signs of interest, both in Europe and in independent policy research, even in very centralised France. The diversification of funding resources may in the future encourage greater research quality and innovation, if the corporate sector understands that it too should support the public mission services provided by think tanks, and if think tanks in turn are willing to open themselves up to greater financial scrutiny. This could in turn lead to greater private funding streams. Academics are also engaging more with their think tank colleagues and with other areas of applied research. Cooperation and other forms of exchange between think tanks at the E.U. level are increasing. Anglo-American mastery of media relations techniques is spreading.

The current changes in Euro-think tanks' environment may cause some casualties in the next few years, but many in the sector are also confident that, eventually, European think tanks will emerge stronger. After all, these are the organisations that specialise in analysing trends and drawing lessons for the future.

ANNEX 1 - LIST OF EURO-THINK TANKS SURVEYED

The entirety of the data collected and used for the analysis provided could not materially be presented in printed form. We therefore provide here only a list of the organisations surveyed and invite readers to consult Notre Europe's website for a summary of the data collected on think tanks' contact details, missions, key activities and publications, teams, budgets, and research topics:

http://www.notre-europe.asso.fr

The data presented in the on-line table was collected throughout the summer of 2004, by telephone, by email, and through the organisations' website. The opportunity, which most seized, was given to all the think tanks surveyed to review the data provided.

THINK TANKS LIST

UE-BRUSSELS:

Center for European Policy Studies (CEPS)

Centre for the New Europe (CNE)

European Centre for International Economics (nom provisoire)

European Policy Centre (EPC)

European Trade Union Institute (Institut Syndical Européen) (ETUI)

Lisbon Council for Economic Competitiveness

Observatoire Social Européen

European Institute for Asian Studies (EIAS)

International Security Information Service, Europe - ISIS Europe

Institut Européen de Recherche sur la Coopération Méditerranéenne et Euro-Arabe (MEDEA)

AUSTRIA

Institut für Europäische Integrationsforschung (EIF)

Österreichisches Institut für Europäische Sicherheitspolitik (ÖIES)

Europainstitut der WU Wien (Jean Monnet Centre of Excellence)

The interdisciplinary Centre for Comparative Research in the Social Sciences (ICCR)

Institut für Höhere Studien (IHS) Institute for Advanced Studies

Europäisches Zentrum für Wohlfahrtspolitik und Sozialforschung

Österreichisches Institut für internationale Politik (OIIP)

Wiener Institut für Internationale Wirtschaftsvergleiche (WIIW)

Zentrum für angewandte Politikforschung (ZAP)

Österreichisches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (WIFO)

Austria Perspektiv (ein Institut der Österreichischen Wirtschaft)

BELGIUM

Groupe de Recherche et d'Information sur la Paix et la Sécurité (GRIP)

Royal Institute for International Relations (IRRI-KIIB)

CYPRUS

European Institute of Cyprus

The Research and Development Center - Intercollege

Civilitas Research

CZECH REPUBLIC

EUROPEUM Institute for European Policy

Policy Center for the Promotion of Democracy

Prague Institute of International Relations

Center for Economics and Politics

Civic Institute

DENMARK

Danish Institute for International Studies (DIIS)

ESTONIA

Institute for European Studies

Estonian Foreign Policy Institute (EVI)

Praxis Center for Policy Studies

The Estonian Institute for Futures Studies (ETI)

FINLAND

The Finnish Institute of International Affairs

ETLA, Research Institute of the Finnish Economy

Pan-European Institute

Finnish Business and Policy Forum (EVA)

Pellervo Economic Research Institute (PTT)

Labour Institute for Economic Research

FRANCE

Confrontations Europe

Europe 2020

Fondation Robert Schuman

Notre Europe

European Union Institute for Security Studies (EUISS)

Fondation pour la Recherche Stratégique (FRS)

Institut Français des Relations Internationales (IFRI)

GERMANY

Institut für Europäische Politik (IEP)

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI) an der Universität Bonn

Mannheimer Zentrum für Europäische Sozialforschung (MZES) an der Universität Mannheim

Zentrum für Europäische Wirtschaftsforschung (ZEW) an der Universität Mannheim

Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP) - Deutsches Institut für Internationale Politik und Sicherheit

Forschungsinstitut der deutschen Gesellschaft für Auswärtige Politik (DGAP)

Centrum für angewandte Politikforschung (CAP) an der Universität München

Hessische Stiftung Friedens- und Konfliktforschung

Institut für Friedensforschung und Sicherheitspolitik (IFSH) and der Universität Hamburg

HAUS RISSEN-Internationales Institut für Politik und Wirtschaft (Hamburg)

Bertelsmann Stiftung

Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW Berlin)

Institut für Weltwirtschaft an der Universität Kiel (IfW)

IFO-Institut

Hamburgische Welt-Wirtschafts-Archiv (HWWA) - - Hamburg Institute of International Economics

Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung Halle (IWH)

Institut für Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft (IWG Bonn)

Max-Planck-Institut für Gesellschaftsforschung

Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung (FES)

Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung

Hanns-Seidel-Stiftung

ASKO-EUROPA-STIFTUNG

Stiftung Entwicklung und Frieden (SEF)

GREECE

ELIAMEP, Hellenic Foundation for European and Foreign Policy

EKEM, Hellenic Center for European Studies

EKEME, Greek Center of European Studies and Research

Institute for International and European Economic Relations

Research Institute for European and American Studies

Centre of European Constitutional Law, Themistocles and Dimitris Tsatsos Foundation

Center for International and European Economic Law

Society for Social and Economic Studies (EKOME)

HONGARY

Foundation for Market Economy

Institute for World Economics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences

Institute of Economics of Hungarian Academy of Sciences (IEHAS)

Policy Research Centre of the Századvég Foundation

International Center for Economic Growth (ICEG) European Center

IRELAND

Institute of European Affairs (IEA)

Economic and Social Research Institute (ESRI)

ITALY

Istituto Affari Internazionali (IAI)

Centro Studi Investimenti Sociali CENSIS

Centro Studi Politica Internazionale (CeSPI)

Istituto per gli Studi di Politica Internazionale (ISPI)

Societa' Italiana per l'Organizzazione Internazionale (SIOI)

Fondazione di ricerca Istituto Carlo Cattaneo

Centro di Ricerca e Documentazione Luigi Einaudi

LATVIA

Centre for European and Transition

Latvian Institute of International Affairs

Institute of Economics, Academy of Science (IEAS)

Baltic International Center for Economic Policy Studies (BICEPS)

Centre for Public Policy (PROVIDUS)

I ITHUANIA

Institute for International Relations and Political Science

Lithuanian Free Market Institute

Lithuanian Regional Research Institute

Institute of Labour and Social Research

NETHERLANDS

The Cicero Foundation (CF)

Centre for European Security Studies (CESS)

Initiative Referendum Institute Europe (IRI Europe)

Netherlands Institute of International Relations Clingendael

POLAND

Adam Smith Research Centre

Center for International Relations

Center for Social and Economic Research

Institute of Public Affairs

Foreign Trade Research Institute

Gdansk Institute for Market Economics

PORTUGAL

Instituto de Estudos Estratégicos e Internacionais (Institute of International Strategic Studies) - IEEI Instituto Português de Relaçoes Internacionais (Portuguese Institute of International Relations) - IPRI

SLOVAKIA

Centre for European Policy

Institute for Economic and Social Reforms

Institute for Public Affairs IVO

M.E.S.A. 10 - Center for Economic and Social Analyses

Slovak Foreign Policy Association

SLOVENIA

Institute for Economic Research

SPAIN

Asociación de Investigación y Especialización sobre Temas Iberoamericanos (AIETI)

Centro de relaciones internacionales y cooperación internacional (CIDOB)

Fundación Pablo Iglesias

Fundación para el análisis y el estudio social (FAES)

Instituto de Cuestiones Internacionales y Política Exterior (INCIPE)

Institut europeo de la mediterrània (IEMed)

Real Instituto Elcano

SWEDEN

Swedish Institute for European Policy Studies (SIEPS)

Swedish Institute of International Affairs (UI)

Stockholm International Peace Research Institute (SIPRI)

Timbro

Center for Business and Policy Studies (SNS)

UNITED KINGDOM

Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR)

Centre for European Reform (CER)

EU Policy Network (EPN)

European Foundation

Federal Trust for Education and Research

Institute for European Environmental Policy (IEEP)

The Policy Network

British Institute of International Comparative Law (BIICL)

Centre for Defence Studies (CDS), King's College London

European Policy Forum (EPF)

Foreign Policy Centre (FPC)

International Institute for Strategic Studies (IISS)

Institute of Economic Affairs (IEA)

Overseas Development Institute (ODI)

Royal Institute of International Affairs/Chatham House

Royal United Services Institute (RUSI)

ANNEX 2 - MAIN EUROPEAN THINK TANK NETWORKS ENCOUNTERED

Think tanks do not operate in a vacuum, in particular in the field of European affairs. They form networks and are surrounded by organisations that perform similar activities. One of the striking features of the think tanks we studied was the enormous number of links which they had with think tanks and other organisations both across Europe and in other parts of the world. 161 These links varied enormously both in type and depth (cf. section 1.2 for a discussion of causes of think tank 'internationalisation').

THINK TANK LINKS REPORTED IN THE SURVEY

We encountered different types of relationship:

- Contact with another think tank, e.g. through meetings or discussions. This allows in some cases the sharing of best practice. 162
- Joint hosting of an event: this enables a think tank to have access to a new audience outside its home country. The local 'partner' think tank - with its greater knowledge of the national political scene - can assist in the organisation of an event abroad. Then the first think tank would reciprocate the arrangement for any events organised in its own country.
- Partnership with (an)other think tank(s) for a single research project: This type of ad hoc collaboration is favoured by many of the think tanks in the survey. It provides the opportunity to incorporate a broader range of perspectives into the research while allowing think tanks to maintain their independence from other bodies.
- Participation in multi-partner, multi-sector research projects and networks: these usually involve a range of participants, including universities, scientific experts, and other national research bodies, in addition to think tanks. The best example are the 'Networks of Excellence' funded by the E.U. under the 6th Framework Programme for research, although there is a range of other types. It was not clear from our interviews with European think tanks how active they are in these networks. The research content of each network project is usually fairly specialised and it seems that universities - which have a larger number of specialised researchers - are more successful at getting funding for them.¹⁶³ More research is certainly needed to understand better think tanks' participation in such networks. There are one or two examples of think tanks taking part in other networks, not directly related to the 6th Framework Programme. For example, FORNET is a new network which shares ideas among academics and practitioners on different aspects of European foreign policy.¹⁶⁴ There is also a large number of think tank networks operating at the European level. Struyk (2002) has provided a conceptual framework for the discussion of such networks, but essentially they come about when a group of think tanks which share common interests decide to establish a formal network. The network organisation may or may not have institutionalised structures existing outside the individual think tanks.

- Sharing a joint forum with another think tank to promote a particular policy area: this may involve a degree of joint funding and also a sharing of personnel. One example we found is the 'European Security Forum' set up recently by CEPS and IISS in Brussels to enable joint events to be hosted, and joint papers to be written, on European defence and security matters.¹⁶⁵ Another example would be the biannual conference of directors and representatives of institutes of international affairs (fortunately shortened to CDRILA).
- Direct funding by one think tank of another think tank: this is not a common practice between Euro-think tanks, although there were some examples.¹⁶⁶

THINK TANK NETWORKS IN EUROPE

The table below lists some of the main think tank networks which we came across in our survey. There were also others which seemed important: for example the network of European environmental policy institutes (whose members are IEEP, IPAE, and Ecologic); the network of directors of Nordic and Baltic institutes; and the biannual meetings of the institutes of international affairs. However, none of these currently has a website. This list is therefore not meant to be exhaustive, but to provide an initial overview.

The networks are usually one of two types: either a network which simply brings together different think tanks but has no independent viewpoint (e.g. EPIN; TEPSA, which was founded in 1974 and was one of the first such network set up in Europe); or an 'epistemic' network, which groups together institutes of a similar policy outlook and which is usually more advocacy-oriented. The best example of this latter type in our survey is the Stockholm Network, a relatively new network of free market think tanks with members in Britain, Scandinavia, and France with offices in London.

The ones that are most cohesive tend to be the ones which are administered and core-funded either by a single think tank, or by an external donor (Struyk, 2002). Examples of the more cohesive networks would be TEPSA, which receives E.U. funding and was established on the initiative of the Federal Trust; EPIN, created at the time of the Convention and hosted and run by CEPS (CEPS hosts the secretariat of a number of networks¹⁶⁷); and the OSI-Related Policy Centres network in Eastern Europe, funded by the Open Society Institute and run from their Budapest office. European think tanks may also belong to a global network of research institutes. One example is the Global ThinkNet, which convenes policy dialogue meetings of think tanks and politicians and is administered by the Japan Centre for International Exchange.

A number of factors arguably limit the impact which networks can have. These include the extra administrative burden which they can create for a think tank participating in the network (for example they may have to organise events or publish papers on behalf of the network); the lack of a strong 'corporate' identity which the network can suffer from; and also the precariousness of funding arrangements for them, especially if they cannot find a long-term donor.

Think tanks have also developed other strategies to increase their impact abroad. One is to open a new office or offices overseas, a trend seen amongst many American think tanks, such as RAND and the Aspen Institute, but less common among the European ones. We did though come across a number of Euro-think tanks which had set up branches outside their home country, either in Brussels or further afield. 168 This enables them to build better links abroad with new constituencies, such as the European institutions, while still retaining their domestic base.

Another strategy adopted by think tanks in this respect is to develop a network of researchers based in different countries and to administer this 'think net' from a single office. This is the organisational form used by the Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR) (See Case Study). This type of operation has undoubtedly been made easier by the spread of information technology. Some think tanks, which do not have the organisational capacity for either of these strategies, have instead chosen to establish themselves as 'online only' think tanks, such as the recently formed E.U. Policy Network, although whether this will take off as a phenomenon remains uncertain. Finally, there are a number of online discussion forums which now exist and have a number of similarities to think tanks. One example would be Open Democracy, an online organisation promoting debate on a range of policy issues. Strictly speaking though, these are not think tanks because conducting policy research is not their main activity.

Name/website	Date founded	Description	Geographical coverage	Number of member	Secretariat
	Tounaea		coverage	institutes	
Association of European Conjuncture Institutes (AIECE) www.econ.ucl.ac.be/ CONJ/aiece2002/	1957	Promotes exchange of statistical and institutional information to encourage economic development	17 E.U. countries, Switzerland, Norway and Yugoslavia	43 (some are government agencies)	Belgium (IRES)
index.html TEPSA (Trans- national European Policy Studies Association)	1974	Promoting international research on European integration	EU	22	Brussels (TEPSA)
<u>www.tepsa.be</u> Euro-	1996	Foreign policy receased	35 member	45 (includes	Lisbon
Mediterranean Study Commission (EuroMeSCo) www.euromesco.net	1990	Foreign policy research and analysis of security issues	countries of Euro- Med Partnership (E.U. and North African states)	university institutes)	(IEEI)
Centres de recherche liés à l'OSI lgi.osi.hu/ppi/	1997	Capacity-building for East European think tanks	Eastern Europe	18	Budapest (Open Society Institute)
European Forecasting Research Association for the Macroeconomy (EUROFRAME)	1998	Economic modelling, forecasting and analysis leading to E.U. policy recommendations	Netherlands, Germany, Ireland, GB, France, Italy, Austria, Finland	9	Berlin (DIW)
www.euroframe.org ENEPRI www.enepri.org	2000	International diffusion of joint research, aiming to increase public awareness of the European dimension of national economic policy issues	Europe, including candidate countries	23	Brussels (CEPS)
European Policy Institutes Network (EPIN) www.epin.org	2002	Promoting pan-European policy dialogue and research	28 European countries, including all E.U. member states, accession and candidate countries	37	Brussels (CEPS)
Stockholm	2004 (bien	Network of free market	UK, France,	120	London
Network www.stockholm- network.org	que l'initiative date de 1997)	European think tanks	Sweden, Brussels	120	London

st Networks with predominantly think tanks as members, based in Europe and with a website.

- ¹⁶¹ On the other hand, we were studying think tanks working on international themes and these were probably more likely to have international links than think tanks working on domestic issues.
- ¹⁶² For example if a new think tank director wishes to learn how an existing think tank operates. Or it is simply to share ideas. In the latter case, it usually occurres between think tanks holding similar research interests and/or outlooks, such as international affairs institutes or free market think tanks.
- 163 Cf. for instance the list of current projects at www.cordis.lu
- ¹⁶⁴ It was set up in January 2003 and is funded by the Commission. It is managed from the London School of Economics and has other universities involved; one of its principal partners is TEPSA and among its other partners are many of the European foreign affairs think tanks. www.fornet.info
- ¹⁶⁵ Cf. www.eusec.org
- 166 A number the well funded German foundations, including the Bertelsmann Foundation and the Friedrich Ebert Stiftung, give financial support to new think tanks in Eastern Europe. The Robert Schuman Foundation also assists sister institutes in the CEECs.
- ¹⁶⁷ ENEPRI (European Network of Economic Policy Research Institutes), www.enepri.org; ENARPRI (European Network of Agricultural and Rural Policy Research Institutes), www.enarpri.org; ELISE (European Liberty and Security), www.eliseconsortium.org; EPIN (European Policy Institutes Network), www.epin.org; CS (Climate Strategies), www.climate-strategies.org; ESF (European Security Forum) - run jointly with the IISS, London, www.eusec.org; ECRI (European Credit Research Institute), www.ecri.be.
- 168 The only truly 'trans-national' European think tanks in this regard found in our survey were: the German party foundations, such as FES and KAS, which had branches spread all over the world; IISS which has offices in London, Washington and Singapore and has members in over 100 countries around the world and a significant presence in Asia; and IEEP, the UK-based environment think tank which opened a Brussels office in 2001.

ANNEX 3 - METHODOLOGICAL QUESTIONS

HOW TO RECOGNISE A THINK TANK: HOW OUR NINE CRITERIA WERE IDENTIFIED

Defining think tanks is not an easy task, considering the diversity of potentially relevant organisations. We concur with Sherrington (2000) who argues that, "with respect to the EU, it is futile to strive for one meaning—an exclusive definition simply cannot be applied to EUoriented think tanks given the varying contexts in which E.U. policy is shaped." Indeed, it is our belief that no single definition can fit all groups that are involved in the production and dissemination of information about policy. Wallace and Garnett (1998), as quoted by Sherrington (2000), also argue: "It makes little sense to define a "think tank" too precisely. The functions which think tanks fulfil – research relevant to public policy, promotion of public debate, the questioning of the conventional wisdom, the formulation and dissemination of alternative concepts and policy agendas - can be fulfilled in many ways, under different constraints."

Yet, finding and applying a clear definition is crucial for a rigorous analysis. As the world of think tanks becomes richer and diverse, it is also bound to be studied and discussed more often. The term is used ever more vaguely in the media. It is therefore useful to seek a more refined understanding of what makes think tanks specific in the world of policy formation.

The working definition used here is derived from six flexible criteria initially proposed by Weiss (1992, viii), for what she calls "policy analysis organizations." She states that think tanks 1) are permanent organizations, 2) are not responsible for the operations of government, 3) have specialized staff with expertise, 4) are policy oriented, 5) produce analysis and advice, 6) and put emphasis on communicating their research to policymakers.

In the light of our research and other scholars' contributions, the first criterion is a clear demarcation between, for instance, temporary research teams and organisations that aim to last (e.g. committees of experts set up by the European Commission).

The second is trickier though. Weiss's objective in stating that think tanks are not responsible for the operations of government is in some cases difficult to apply. Organisations that are appointed by public officials to undertake research governed by the state's needs, such as government research agencies are nevertheless excluded. The question of think tanks' autonomy goes beyond their relations with government authorities. On the one hand, Stone, Denham and Garnett (1998) argue with reason that "the notion that a think tank requires independence or autonomy from the state in order to be 'free-thinking- is a peculiarly Anglo-American notion that does not travel well into other cultures" and that, for instance "to talk of independence as a defining characteristic of think tanks makes little sense in the French cultural context." What about advocacy tanks, for instance, which research and recommendations are governed by a predetermined view of the world? They may not apply scientifically exact methodologies and usually receive more funding from sources favourable to their agenda. The research of the great majority of EU-focused think tanks in fact is governed by the idea that further integration and enlargement of the European Union is necessary. As

such, they also serve the interest of various actors, including the Commission and certain parties that fund them to a large extent. On the other hand, Stone et al. believe, as we do, that think tanks should be able to define their research agenda independently from government missions, as well as from other actors, be they corporate interests or political parties. As they argue, this may be a "relative" autonomy as "such organizations may operate within government (...) or be attached to a profit-making corporate entity." Essentially though, "these institutes attempt to maintain their research freedom and at least claim not to be beholden to any specific interest." The idea of "independence", although necessary, clearly remains elusive.

As explained by Stone (2000, p.156) for instance, "the distinction between an independent think tank and an official or state-funded think tank is not clear cut. In reality, complete autonomy and independence for think tanks is illusory. Self-generated research agendas, financial autonomy, a dispassionate scholarly focus and retaining organisational distance from official forums may bolster intellectual integrity but it also undermines the potential for policy relevance and input." As she argues, all think tanks are, to some extent, shaped by their political environment, whether through their tax status, public funding, or because they have been created by the government, or have been co-opted by official organisations, are linked to parties, etc. McGann and Weaver (2000, p.5) advocate pursuing "a middle course", restricting their study to organisations that have "significant autonomy from government and from societal interests such as firms, interest groups, and political parties", while recognizing that "autonomy is a relative rather than an absolute term, and that the operational definition of think tanks must differ from region to region." In practice, we have tried to determine how they preserve their capacity to determine their own research agenda by asking this very question, by looking at statutes and the origins of staff and funding, and generally assessing the organisations' ability to work autonomously.

Being "policy oriented", producing "analysis and advice" and communicating their research are also core characteristics of think tanks. As stressed by McGann and Weaver (2000), it "is the transformation of political problems into appropriate public policy solutions" that justifies their existence. Sherrington (2000) adequately states that think tanks' "primary aim is to disseminate that research as widely as possible with the intention of influencing policy-making processes," unlike interest groups or public interest NGOs that analyse policies and propose policy alternatives on an ad hoc basis, but not as their primary activity. In fact, Stone (2000) sees this as the very essence of think tanks: "Think tanks have one thing in common: the individuals in them attempt to make academic theories and scientific paradigms policyrelevant." This allows to draw a line, albeit at times blurred, between think tanks and institutions that only seek to disseminate ideas, but do not have a research capacity that can contribute to policy making (such as Forum Europe, A Gauche, en Europe, the Bruges Group, and Friends of Europe). We have also excluded bodies that do not publish or do not have a website (e.g. Centro Europa Ricerche in Italy). Academic research centres that study policyrelated issues but do not seek to shape policy debates and decision-making processes were also excluded (there are for instance numerous 'borderline' cases in Portugal that have not been included for this reason).

In this respect, although Weiss includes only policymakers as think tanks' target, several authors (Stone, 2000; Sherrington, 2000) include both policy-makers and the public. For Stone (2000), "the term "think tank" is used here to mean independent (and usually private) policy research institutes (...) actively seeking to educate or advise policy-makers and the public through a number of channels." Denham and Garnett (1998) also argue that think tanks try to influence the climate of opinion and to inform public policy decisions. This, in our view, is important, as think tanks for the most part produce and market ideas. In other words, they do not merely seek to produce expertise, but also to propagate their ideas. Although their ultimate aim is to see their ideas implemented, the general public therefore being a strategic, yet secondary target, we argue that both aspects of think tanks' operations are equally important.

We also believe that a useful distinction to add to Weiss's original list is that think tanks, as suggested inter alia by Stone, Denham, and Garnett (p.4), are not degree-granting institutions. This allows drawing a line between purely or primarily academic research outfits (e.g. EUI in Florence) and training institutes (e.g. EIPA in The Netherlands) on the one hand, and think tanks that may include different types of training in their activities as part of their wider goals (e.g. Clingendael Institute, also in The Netherlands). Regarding consultancies that offer services equivalent to think tanks, the distinguishing factor in our view is that these outfits only operate on a commercial basis, however scientific their research practices, while think tanks operate for a certain view of the public good. Whether as advocacy or academic think tanks, they perform essentially a public service.

For the sake of completeness, we have chosen not to restrict our research to non-profit organisations, as proposed by McGann and Weaver (2000), as this would risk eliminating a large number of relevant organisations in the European context. In fact, this criterion derives from a North American context, and proves more easily applicable there than in Europe, as experienced by these authors, considering that not all E.U. Member States allow this type of organisation. We have not limited ourselves either to multi-disciplinary organisations, but have included specialised organisations (e.g. IRI Europe in The Netherlands).

LIST OF INTERVIEWS

The following qualitative interviews were conducted in person or by telephone. In a small number of cases by email (indicated).

POLICY MAKERS

- 1. Christine Verger, Secretary General of the socialist group, European Parliament, 14.07.2004
- 2. Maïté Abram, director, European Movement Belgium, 14.07.2004
- 3. Sylvie Goulard, Group of Policy Advisors, European Commission, 14.07.2004
- 4. Aivar Roop, Director of the European movement in Estonia, 14.07.2004
- 5. Marina Féat, Researcher, French Permanent Representation to the European institutions, 15.07.2004

- 6. Odile Chenal, Deputy director, European Cultural Foundation, 16.07.2004
- 7. Eneko Landaburu, Director general, DG External Relations, European Commission, 19.07.2004
- 8. Nicole Gnesotto, Director, EUISS, 19.07.2004
- 9. Jacques Delors, President, Notre Europe, 26.07.2004
- 10. Helle Thorning Schmidt, former Danish MEP, founder of Progressivt Centrum (Danish think tank), 27.07.04
- 11. Joachim Bitterlich, former advisor to Helmut Kohl, 11.08.2004
- 12. Lucy Powell, Campaigns Director, Britain in Europe, 13.08.04
- 13. François Lamoureux, Director general, DG transport and energy, European Commission, 18.08.2004
- 14. Lykke Friis, Dansk Industri, 18.08.04
- 15. Henrik Kröner, Secretary General, European Movement International, 19.08.2004
- 16. Grégoire Verdeaux, Advisor, Cabinet of Michel Barnier, Foreign Affairs Minister (France), 2.09.2004
- 17. Hubert Heiss, Head of Unit, Chancellery (Germany), 2.09.2004
- 18. Pierre Jonckheer, Member of the European Parliament (Belgium), 3.09.2004
- 19. Juan Ignacio Morro, civil servant, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, State Secretariat for European Affairs (Spain), 7.9.2004
- 20. Androula Vassiliou, Member of the European Parliament (Cyprus), 27.08 and 8.09.2004
- 21. Amaya Bloch-Lainé, Director, German Marshall Fund of the U.S., Paris, 9.09.2004
- 22. Lord Grenfell, Chair, House of Lords EU Select Committee, UK Parliament, 13.09.2004

MEDIA

- 1. David Cronin, political correspondent, European Voice, 15.07.2004
- 2. Françoise Croigneau, Chief-editor, international affairs, Les Echos, 27.08.2004
- 3. Ana Alonso Montes, Spanish journalist, member of the international editorial office of El Mundo, 30.8.2004
- 4. Quentin Dickinson, Deputy Director, Radio France, Brussels, 31.08.2004
- 5. Martine Dubuisson, journalist, Le Soir (Belgium), 3.09.2004
- 6. Anthony Manduca, Associate editor, The Times, Malta, Expert in International Relations and E.U. affairs, 3.09.2004
- 7. Aija Lulle, journalist, Neatkariga (Latvian newspaper), 3.09.2004
- 8. Erkki Bahovski, journalist, Chief-editor, European affairs, "Postimees" (Estonian daily newspaper), 6.09.2004
- 9. Paul Gillespie, Foreign Policy Editor, Irish Times, 08.09.04
- 10. Ian Black, former Brussels Correspondent, The Guardian, 13.09.04

ACADEMICS

- 1. Iga Krasnowska, Center for International Relations (Poland), 14.07.2004
- 2. Jean Pisani-Ferry, economist, founder of the European Centre for International Economics, 19.07.2004
- 3. Renaud Dehousse, Jean Monnet Professor and Director of the European Centre at the Institut d'Etudes Politiques of Paris, 28.07.2004
- 4. Franklin Dehousse, Professor, University of Liège, assessor of the European Studies department of IRRI (Institut Royal des Relations Internationales, Belgium), 5.08.2004
- 5. Jacques Rupnik, CERI (Centre d'Etude et de Recherches Internationales, France), 19.08.2004
- 6. Yves Mény, President of the European University Institute (Florence), 21.08.2004
- 7. Simon Hix, Professor of European and Comparative Politics, Department of Government, LSE, 24.08.04
- 8. Martin Thunert, Professor, University of Munich, author of several books on think tanks, 169 30.08.2004
- 9. Roderick Pace, Director of the European Documentation and Research Center, Malta 1.09.2004
- 10. Diane Stone, Reader in Politics and International Studies, University of Warwick, 3.09.04
- 11. Josef Braml, Researcher, SWP (Germany), 7.09.2004

THINK TANKS

- 1. Abraamides Lysandros, Director's deputy of the Research & Development Center-Intercollege, 08.07.2004
- 2. Radek Spok, EUROPEUM Institute for European Policy (Czech Republic), 09.07.2004
- 3. Barbara Lippert, Stellvertrete Direktorin, Institut für europäische Politik (IEP), 9.07.2004
- 4. Monica Cauchi, Communications officer of the European Documentation and Research Center, 09.07.2004
- 5. Ludger Künhardt, Director at the Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI), Bonn Universität,
- 6. Mr. Hutter, responsible for the E.U. project, Hanns-Seidel-Stiftung, 12.07.2004
- 7. Judas Vorrath, Research and Programme Coordinator, Stiftung Entwicklung und Frieden (SEF), 12.07.2004
- 8. Jürgen Turek, Managing Director, Centrum für angewandte Politikforschung (CAP) an der Universität München, 12.07.2004
- 9. Andreas Theophanous, Director of Research & Development Center-Intercollege, 12.07.2004
- 10. Aksel Kirch, Director of the Institute for European Studies in Estonia, 12.07.2004
- 11. James Ker-Lindsay, Director of Civilitas Research, 12.07.2004 and 01.09.2004
- 12. Erik Terk, Director of the Estonian Institute for Futures Studies, 13.07.2004
- 13. Giles Merritt, Secretary General, Friends of Europe, 13.07.2004
- 14. Rolf Langhammer, Vice-president of the Kiel Institute for World Economics (IfW), 13.07.2004

- 15. Hans-Georg Ehrhart, Arbeitsgebiete: Internationale Organisationen, Konfliktprävention, Europäische Integration, Osteuropa, Institut für Friedensforschung und Sicherheitspolitik, University of Hamburg, 13.07.2004
- 16. Silvia Stiller, Head of Research Programme European Integration and Spatial Development, Hamburgische Welt-Wirtschafts-Archiv (HWWA), 13.07.2004
- 17. Andres Kasekamp, Director of the Estonian Foreign Policy Institute, 14.07.2004
- 18. Marlène Arany, Secretary General, TEPSA, 14.07.2004
- 19. Karel Lannoo, Chief Executive, Staffan Jerneck, Deputy Director, Centre for European Policy Studies, 14.07, 2004
- 20. Ann Metter, Executive Director and co-founder, Paul Hofheinz, President and co-founder, Lisbon Council, 15.07.2004
- 21. Daniela Manca, Research Fellow, International Security Information Service, Europe, 15.07.2004
- 22. Graham Mather, Director, European Policy Forum, 15.07.2004
- 23. Michael Landesmann, Director of Research, Wiener Institut für Internationale Wirtschaftsvergleiche (WIIW), 15.07.2004
- 24. Raita Karnite, Director of the Institute of Economics in Latvia
- 25. Henrietta Riegler, Senior Researcher for southeastern Europe, Österreichisches Institut für internationale Politik (OIIP), 16.07.2004
- 26. Dr Schmidt, Managing Director, Deutsches Institut für Wirtschaftsforschung (DIW Berlin), 16.07.2004
- 27. Joseph Melchior, Assistant Professor / Europaforschung, Österreichisches Gesellschaft für Politikwissenschaft (ÖGPW), 16.07.2004
- 28. Elizabeth Phocas, Deputy Director, ELIAMEP, 16.07.2004
- 29. Charles Grant, Director, Centre for European Reform, 16.07.2004
- 30. Brendan Donnelly, Director, Federal Trust, 16.07.2004
- 31. Thomas Fischer, Project Manager, Bertelsmann Stiftung, 19.07.2004 (mail)
- 32. Dr. Michael Paul, Head, Research Secretariat, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP), 19.07.2004
- 33. Tanja Gewis, Management, Institut für Höhere Studien (IHS), 19.07.2004
- 34. Otmar Höll, Director, OIIP Österreichisches Institut für internationale Politik (OIIP), 19.07.2004
- 35. Leslie Agius, Director of the Foundation of International Studies, 19.07.2004
- 36. Hynek Opolecky, Policy Center for the Promotion of Democracy (République Tchèque), 20.07.2004
- 37. Thanos Veremis, Director of EKEME, 20.07.2004
- 38. Dr Hermann Schmitt Projektleiter, Mannheimer Zentrum für Europäische SozialForschung (MZES), 20.07.2004
- 39. Mrs Bapuly, Researcher-lawyer, Institut für Europäische Integrationsforschung (IEF), Wien, 20.07.2004
- 40. Marek Jakoby, M.E.S.A. 10 Center for Economic and Social Analyses, 20.07.2004 67.

- 41. Peter Zsapka, Centre for European Policy (Slovaquie), 20.07.2004
- 42. Anders Mellbourn, Director, Swedish Institute of International Affairs, 21.07.2004
- 43. Annita Kishi-Manentzou, Director's assistant of the European Institute of Cyprus, 21.07.2004
- 44. Eckard Bolsinger, Wissenschaftlicher Mitarbeiter, Internationales Institut für Politik und Wirtschaft (Hausrissen), 21.07.2004
- 45. Dr Helga Haftendorn, Vice-President of the research institute, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Auswärtige Politik (DGAP), 21.07.2004
- 46. Kristina Meskova, Institute for Economic and Social Reforms (Slovaquie), 21.07.2004
- 47. Jens Rasmussen, Personnel Department, Danish Institute for International Studies, 21.07.2004
- 48. Harri Lorentz, Research Associate, Pan-European Institute, Finland, 22.07.2004
- 49. Jan Hrich, The Institute of International Relations-IIR (Czech Republic), 22.07.2004
- 50. Egle Baroniunaite, Project manager of the Lithuanian Regional Research Institute, 23.07.2004
- 51. Stephen Yeo, Chief Executive Officer, CEPR, 23.07.2004
- 52. Robert Dover, Director, E.U. Policy Network, 25.07.2004
- 53. Ana Pinto, Instituto Português de Relações Internacionais, 26.07.2004
- 54. Pedro Courela, Instituto de Estudos Estratégicos Internacionais, 27.07.2004
- 55. Pascale Joannin, Director, Fondation Robert Schuman, 27.07.2004
- 56. Richard Whitman, Head of European Programme, RIIA, 27.07.2004
- 57. Tatiana Muravska, Director of the Centre for European and Transition Studies, 28.07.2004
- 58. Jean Nestor, former Secretary General, Notre Europe, 28.07.2004
- 59. Pilar Tena, Director of institutional relations, Real Instituto Elcano, 29.7.2004
- 60. Stanley Crossick, Founder, European Policy Centre, 29.07.2004
- 61. Brona Pinterova, Institute for Public Affairs (Slovaquie), 30.07.2004
- 62. Michael Meier, Desk officer for Western industrialised countries, Friedrich-Ebert-Stiftung, 2.08.2004
- 63. Hans-Werner Sinn, President of CESifo Group, IFO-Institut, 2.08.2004
- 64. Margriet Drent, Executive Director, Centre for European Security Studies, 3.08.2004
- 65. Peter van Ham, Deputy Head of Studies, Clingendael Institute, 3.08.2004
- 66. Sacha Godeschalk, Rand Europe, Leiden, 3.08.2004
- 67. Bruno Kaufman, Initiative & Referendum Institute Europe, 4.08.2004
- 68. Vita Terauda, Director of the Centre for Public Policy PROVIDUS in Latvia, 05.08.2004
- 69. Sonja Puntscher-Riekmann, Director, Institut für Europäische Integrationsforschung (IEF), Wien, 10.08.2004
- 70. A.D. Papayannides, Member of the Board of EKEME, 10.08.2004 (email)
- 71. Carolina Mateu, Communications officer, IEMED, Spain, 11.8.2004
- 72. Maria Palsson, Director of Communications, Timbro, 12.08.2004

- 73. Ronald J Pohoryles, Chairman & Director, Interdisciplinary Centre for Comparative Research (ICCR), 13.08.2004
- 74. Atis Lejins, Director of the Latvian Institute of International Affairs, 17.08.2004
- 75. Inga Kanasta, Director's assistant of the Latvian Institute of International Affairs, 17.08.2004
- 76. Richard Gowan, Head of European Research, FPC, 18.08.2004
- 77. Tomas Dahlman, Director, SIEPS, 18.08.2004
- 78. Erich Hochleitner, Director, Österreichische Institut für Europäische Sicherheitspolitik, 20.08.2004
- 79. Pierre Lepetit, Executive Director, Institut Français des Relations Internationales, 25.08.2004
- 80. Ulrika Stuart, Chief Information Officer, SNS, 27.08.2004
- 81. Francois Lafond, Deputy Director, Policy Network, 2.09.2004
- 82. Ms Buggel-Asmus, Information Manager, ETUI, 3.09.2004
- 83. Roderick Pace, Director of the European Documentation and Research Center, 01.09.2004
- 84. Pete Glennon, Administrator, Institute of European Affairs, Dublin, 3.09.2004
- 85. Jill Donoghue, Research Director, and Pete Glennon, Administrator
- 86. Philippe Pochet, Director, OSE, 10.09.2004
- 87. Marco Incerti, Researcher, EPIN/CEPS, 13.09.2004

¹⁶⁹ In particular: "Think Tanks in Germany" in Stone, Denham, Garnett, 2003. "Conservative Think Tanks in the United States and Canada" in: Rainer-Olaf Schultze, Roland Sturm and Dagmar Eberle (eds.): Conservative Parties and Right-Wing Politics in North America, Opladen (Germany): Leske&Budrich, 2003, 229-254. "Players Beyond Borders? German Think Tanks as Catalysts of Transnationalisation" in: Global Society, No.2, April 2000, 191-212.

¹⁷⁰ Author of Think Tanks vs. "Denkfabriken"? US and German Policy Research Institutes Coping with and Influencing Their Environments

QUESTIONNAIRES

The three following questionnaires were used for interviews with managers of think tanks, decision-makers and journalists, and academics. Additional questions were asked when the interviews justified going beyond these initial questions.

THINK TANK MANAGERS

- 1. What was the rationale for the creation of your think tank?
- 2. How do you decide your research priorities?
- 3. At what stage of the decision-making process do you usually intervene? Before: when public policies are being created; or after, in reaction to proposals that are being examined?
- 4. How do you seek to preserve your independence?
- 5. How would you define a think tank in Europe?
- 6. According to you, what are the key factors that dertermine think tank's influence? The key factors that determine it's credibility?
- 7. What think tanks do you consider the most influent?
- 8. What current trends are visible in think tank development across Europe?
- 9. What are the main challenges facing think tanks today (competition, funding...)?
- 10. What is the impact of E.U. enlargement on your activities? And for other think tanks working on European affairs?
- 11. What are the other think tanks interested in European affairs in your country?
- 12. Can you estimate your media-coverage: interviews, TV, press articles/editorials, quotations, etc.?
- 13. What is the benefit for you of having links with other think tanks in Europe ?

POLICY-MAKERS

- 1. Do you often use think tanks as information sources?
- 2. Do you contact them on your own initiative, or do they seek contact with you?
- 3. What is your opinion about such contacts: useful/not very useful, why?
- 4. What do you expect from think tanks? What role can they play in the European construction and integration process?
- 5. What are the think tanks you consider influent?
- 6. According to you, what are the key factors of influence for a think tank? Of credibility?
- 7. Would you say that independence from other interests (such as political, ideological, etc.) is an important factor of credibility for a think tank?
- 8. What are the major trends of think tanks' development today?
- 9. Would you work for a think tank yourself? Why?

ACADEMICS

- 1. Are think tanks important actors of the European construction and decision-making processes?
- 2. What role do you think they play?
- 3. Is their contribution to policy making for Europe adequate?
- 4. Could / should anything be done in [relevant Member State] / in the European Union to encourage the development of think tanks?
- 5. What are the key characteristics of an influential think tank? Of a credible think tank?
- 6. What are the most influential think tanks? Why?
- 7. What are the current trends of think tank development in [relevant Member State]? In other countries?
- 8. What is your opinion about the nature and work of [relevant Member State's] think tanks, compared, for instance, to the nature and work of U.S. think tanks?
- 9. Are you aware of studies or surveys that deal with think tanks in your country?

ANNEX 4 - REFERENCES

- Archambault, Edith, « Foundations in France », in Foundations in Europe, Society, Management and Law, The Directory of Social Change, Berlin: Bertelsmann Foundation, 2001
- Barnier, Michel, discours aux ambassadeurs, 26 août 2004, consultable sur http://www.diplomatie.gouv.fr
- Baun, Michael J., An Imperfect Union: The Maastricht Treaty and the New Politics of European Integration, Westview Press, 1996
- Blockstein, David, How to Lose Your Political Virginity While Keeping Your Scientific Credibility, in BioScience, 52:1 (2002): pp.91-96
- CDRI (Centre de recherche pour le développement international), Evaluation l'influence politique stratégique de du CRDI, consultable http://web.idrc.ca/en/ev-12182-201-1-DO_TOPIC.html, 2001.
- Denham, Andrew, et Mark Garnett, British Think Tanks and the Climate of Opinion, London: UCL Press, 1998
- Dickson, Paul, Think Tanks, New York, NY: Atheneum, 1971
- Dinan, Desmond, Ever Closer Union?, 2^{nde} ed., London: Palgrave Macmillan, 1999
- Economist (The), « Pennies for their thoughts », 2 septembre 2004
- EFC (European Foundation Centre), Recommendations on a European statute for foundations, (2001) consultable sur http://www.efc.be
- European Voice, Thomas Klau, « Stiftungen Provide a Useful Link » , 9 juillet 1998 (1998a)
- European Voice, Rory Watson, « Crossing the Business and Political Divide », 9 juillet 1998 (1998b)
- European Voice, Craig Winneker, « Guaranteeing your think tank is the '...ing thing' », 15 octobre 2003
- European Voice, Gareth Harding, « Why Think Tanks are More than just a Chance for Schmooze and Free Drinks », 24 janvier 2004
- Eurostat, Research and Development Expenditure, News Release, 25 février 2004
- Féat, Marina, L'influence française dans les think tanks traitant des questions européennes, étude réalisée pour la Représentation Permanente de la France auprès de l'Union européenne, juillet 2004
- Floch, Jacques, Rapport N°1594 d'Information sur la Présence et l'Influence de la France dans les Institutions Européennes, Assemblée Nationale, 2004 (12 mai), http://www.assemblee-nationale.fr/12/Europe/rap-info/i1594.asp

- Fondation Hippocrène, Développer les Fondations en France, un Impératif Européen, étude réalisée par HEC Junior Conseil, juillet 2001
- Gadault, Thierry, « La Grande Misère des Think Tanks Français », in Le Nouvel Economiste, 26 mars - 1 avril 2004
- Greenwood, Justin, Representing Interests in the European Union, London: St. Martin's Press, 1997
- Greenwood, Justin, Interest Representation in the European Union, London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2003
- Harvey, B. "Does Ireland Need More Think Tanks?", Administration, Vol.49, no.4, hiver 2001-02, pp.89 - 100
- Institut Montaigne, 25 propositions pour développer les fondations en France, novembre 2002
- Johnson, Erik C., Central Europe's Think Tanks: A Voice for Reform, in Economic Reform Today, N°3, 1996: www.cipe.org
- Journal du Management, Le, Annuaire, Les Think Tanks les Plus Influents, http://management.journaldunet.com/dossiers/040435thinktanks/annuaire/ index.shtml, 2004
- Kingdon, John W., Agendas, Alternatives, and Public Policies, New York: Harper Collins, 1984
- Le Corre, Philippe, Tony Blair, Les Rendez-Vous Manqués, Paris: Autrement, 2004
- Lindquist, Evert A., Discerning Policy Influence: Framework for a Strategic Evaluation of IDRC-Supported Research, University of Victoria, 1 septembre 2001 : http://web.idrc.ca/en/ev-12177-201-1-DO_TOPIC.html
- McCormick, John, Understanding the European Union: A Concise Introduction, London: Palgrave Macmillan, 2nd ed., 2002
- McGann, James G., R. Kent Weaver, ed., Think Tanks and Civil Societies, Catalysts for Ideas and Action, New Brunswick: Transaction Publishers, 2000
- McGann, James G., Scholars, Dollars, and Policy Advice, Foreign Policy Research Institute, Philadelphia, 2004
- Nielsen, Stephanie, IDRC-Supported Research And Its Influence On Public Policy: Knowledge Utilisation and Public Policy Processes: A Literature Review, IDRC, décembre 2001
- Nye, Joseph, John D. Donahue (ed.), Governance in a Globalizing World, Brookings, 2000
- Oster, Sharon M., Strategic Management for Non-profit Organizations, Theory and Cases, New York: Oxford University Press, 1995

- Park, Sarah S., Idea-Factories or Ideologues? Think Tanks and the Paradox of Credibility and Influence, essai présenté à la Commission des Diplômes en Etudes Sociales, Harvard College, mars 2004
- Przybylski, Wojciech, Think Tanks in Austria and their Polish Counterparts, Vienne: Académie politique, 2004
- Sherrington, Philippa, « Shaping the Policy Agenda: Think Tank Activity in the European Union », in Global Society, Vol.14, No.2, 2000
- Skorzynska, Katarzyna, « Monopoly for Europe Poland Should Fight to Defend the Principles of Solidarity and Cohesion », in Polish News Bulletin, 13 nov. 2003
- Smith, James Allen, The Idea Brokers: Think Tanks and the Rise of the New Policy Elite, New York: The Free Press, 1991
- Stone, Diane, Capturing the Political Imagination, Think Tanks and the Policy Process, London: Frank Cass, 1996
- Stone, Diane, Andrew Denham, Mark Garnett (eds.), Think Tanks Across Nations. A Comparative Approach, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 1998
- Stone, Diane, « Think Tanks Transnationalisation and Non-Profit Analysis, Advice, and advocacy », in Global Society, Vol.14, No.2, 2000a
- Stone, Diane, «The Changing Think Tank Landscape», in Global Society, Vol.14, No.2, 2000
- Stone, Diane, Andrew Denham (eds.), Think Tank Traditions: Policy Analysis Across Nations; Policy Research and the Politics of Ideas, Manchester: Manchester University Press, 2004
- Struyk, Raymond J., « Management of Transnational Think Tank Networks », in International Journal of Politics, Culture and Society, Vol.15, No.4, été 2002
- Sunstein, Cass R., Why Societies Need Dissent, Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 2003
- Vaïsse, Justin, « Guide du lecteur des revues de politique étrangère américaines; Guide des think tanks et instituts de recherche aux Etats Unis », Numéro Spécial, Ministère des Affaires Etrangères, in Bulletin du Centre d'Analyse et de Prévision, N°70, printemps-été 1998
- Wallace, William, « Ideas and Influence », in Stone, Denham, Garnett (eds.), op. cit.
- Weiss, Carol, « Helping Government Think », in Organizations for Policy Analysis : Helping Government Think, ed. Carol Weiss, Newbury Park: Sage, 1992